



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_45171
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Safety of Blood Donation by people Diagnosed with Diabetes, Hypertension, Malaria and Cancer
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The review is quite interesting and scientifically robust. Authors should address the following: Reframe topic to: Assessment of Blood donation safety by People</p> <p>Abstract Abstract should capture the summary of your write up, pointing out main information. Check for typographical and grammatical errors. All abbreviations should be introduced first.</p> <p>Introduction Citations and references should be done based on Journal guidelines. Line 35-Citation needed. Older citations should come first before recent ones e.g (Musa, 2006; Musa, 2010, Musa, 2018). Line 48-Citation needed. What is BTS? Define first. Line 60 and 61-Citations needed. All organizations (NACO, WHO etc) quoted should have a date of publication and it should be reflected in the manuscript. Line 83 and 90-Citations needed. Line 155-156-Citation here is not accepted. Line 160-Citation needed. Line 221-Citation needed. Line 229-was "not" higher.</p> <p>Line 295, 296 and 301-Citations needed. Reframe your conclusion.</p>	<p>Title has been changed as suggested</p> <p>Abstract modified Grammatical errors have been resolved</p> <p>Reference has been formatted as per the guidelines</p> <p>Corrected Citation given Full form given Corrected</p> <p>Citation given</p> <p>Given Given Corrected Citation mentioned</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Authors should add more references for such study. Ensure Journal guideline is adhered strictly during arrangement.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Authors should do more search and desist from copying from few sources. Manuscript needs to be justified. It is scattered. Repetition of statements presence on manuscript. Please check and expunge where necessary.</p>	<p>We have tried to modify the manuscript as per the comment</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	