Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMMR_45576**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Administration of IV Thrombolytic Therapy in A Patient with Hemianopsia Symptoms**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The use of venous thrombolysis has allowed to reduce disability in patients with cerebral infarction. The authors present a case of unusual cerebral infarction managed with venous thrombolysis and favorable evolution. The article is interesting and may be published after the suggested corrections.** **About this case in important comment:** **1. It is suggested to revise the writing by an english native speaker** **2. It is suggested to completely edit the clinical case.** **In relation to the clinical case I have the following questions:** **1. How many days the patient was sent home.** **2. Is it possible to obtain better quality images?**	1. In English terms, the article was revised again. 2. The clinical case was rearranged. The patient was sent home after several days. Again the image quality was reviewed.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	