



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_46907
Title of the Manuscript:	FEATURES OF GENETIC POLYMORPHISM IN POPULATION WITH DIABETIC NEPHROPATHIA: LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 67: in human polymorphism does not only occur by replacing one nucleotide. It can be caused by deletion, duplication, triplication and so on. The author definition of polymorphism and mutation is not clearly addressed in this article.	Thank you very much for your valuable comments. As you mentioned and requested, we revised, adjusted the parts, which were needed to edit before publication. Some sentences were eliminated, some of them were adjusted. Thank you!
Minor REVISION comments	A more extensive and globally discussion on contribution factors (polymorphisms) of nephropathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus to be included with up to date references. A paragraph containing only one or two sentences should be avoided e.g. line 83 and 84; 119 and 120 and a few more.	
Optional/General comments	The discussion can be best conveyed with good sentence structure and grammar. Please use passive and not active sentence.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	