SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMMR_48040**
Title of the Manuscript:	**IMMUNIZATION STATUS OF COHORT OF CHILDREN VACCINATED AGAINST HEPATITIS B VIRUS IN EKITI STATE OVER TEN YEARS AFTER INCORPORATION INTO NATIONAL PROGRAM ON IMMUNIZATION.**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Can author mention in study design who was the manufacture of the vaccine which was used? Can author also mention whether vaccine was Single-antigen hepatitis B vaccines or Combination vaccines? Please also mention who administered the vaccine (physician, nurse or someone else) In an effort to assure the quality of vaccines at the end of the distribution cold chain, the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has published guidelines for best practices in vaccine storage. Was these guidelines followed?	The Hepatitis B vaccine used for vaccination of the children included in the study was manufactured by different manufacturers e.g GSK (GlaxoSmithKline), LG Life Science etc. To avoid conflict of interest, it is better the names of the manufacturers are not mentioned. More so, that is not part of the objective of our study. Vaccine was given as single vaccine Vaccine usually administered by nurses and their activities are coordinated centrally by the State's Ministry of Health The ministry always work to ensure standard guidelines are followed
Minor REVISION comments	Author should use same font style and size in manuscript.	Ok
Optional/General comments	Unfortunately, result is very shocking and upsetting. Only around 10% seroconversion rate is very low.	That is what we found. All precautions were painstakingly taken.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	