



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48647
Title of the Manuscript:	IMAPCT OF DIETARY PATTERN ON NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF PREGNANT WOMEN IN LOW AND HIGH STRATA BETWEEN THE AGE GROUP OF 30-39 YEARS IN MUMBAI
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I commend the authors for trying to improve maternal nutrition. Unfortunately, they did not do it in this study. Despite colourful graphs and charts, we have no useful data. We have no data, period. Hard data on the consequences of diet on infants has been known since the post-World War studies of maternal and child health in populations suffering war time starvation. Of immediate concern, the authors tell us nothing about their methods. Line 25 & 83: what is a positive random sample? How did they do it. Line 294 tells us that the concerned gynaecologist approved the consent. Isn't that a conflict of interest? Were the women free not to participate even though they depended on the good will of their physician. Curious age group. Is this the age group that has the most children in this population?	A purposive random sampling was done since I as researcher wanted to select pregnant women who belong to 2 nd Trimester. 30-39 years age group was selected because most of the women get married post 28-29 years of age and they conceive mostly during between this age group, therefore a curious age was selected.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes. No information about consent and concern about conflicts of interest by the gynaecologist	No there are no ethical issues in the manuscript for now.