



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48647
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF DIETARY PATTERN ON NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF PREGNANT WOMEN IN LOW AND HIGH STRATA BETWEEN THE AGE GROUP OF 30-39 YEARS IN MUMBAI
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I have some advice.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract should be self-explanatory. Background is too long and one cannot understand what was found: results should be more definite. Please edit Abstract. 2. Line 52 "Fetal which might appear to be increased by 2- to 3-fold in obese patients.": this statement is unclear. There are many such unclear expressions elsewhere as well. Please re-check the manuscript. I will not point out such wrong expression hereafter. 3. Line 84: 30-45: this differs from that of title. 4. Please state the pregnancy outcome. You wrote some possible associations between this unbalanced food intake and poor maternal/infantile outcome, and thus, you had better describe maternal/infantile outcome in this cohort. If you did not examine it, please state so and touch it as study limitation. 5. Conclusion should be the followings (example) because you here only showed the "fact" and this cannot conclude the present statement. "Here, we showed the intake pattern of various nutrition in obese pregnant women in this area of India. We found that some nutritional imbalances were present. Although we did not provide actual strategy to improve this condition, the present data may be of use as a fundamental data for further proposing the health-promoting strategy of pregnant women in this area." This is an example and you need not use the expression per se. 	The present study doesn't show any relation to pregnancy outcome through the presence of the study done by other researchers it might prove that Obesity or malnourishment might show positive association with pregnancy outcome.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No there are no ethical issues in the manuscript for now.