



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JAMMR_48666</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Raynaud's Disease and Clinical Challenges: a Minireview of Literature with a Spotlight on Acupuncture</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Mini-Review</b>   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)   |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b><br>REVISION<br>comments | <p><b>1. In the part Abstract, line 7 separate the two</b> ScienceDirect; line 8 correct the word minireview</p> <p><b>2.In the abstract avoid abbreviation like RD</b></p> <p><b>In the part introduction, first paragraph, line 13, separate the two words</b> disease and</p> <p><b>Line 14 separate the two words</b> nailfold</p> <p>In paragraph 2 line 5 separate the two words disease, anticancer/ line 5 correct the word autoantibodies/ line 6 correct the word microvascular/line 12 correct the word comorbid/line 13 correct the word minireview</p> <p>In the Search results part correct the word <b>socioclinical</b> in line 8/I appreciate figure 1 very innovated. But cancel the content of this figure 1 in the text.</p> <p>In the Results part separate the two words therefore, most in line 2/Separate the two words proposed it line 2/ Correct the word vasodilation in line 5/Separate the following linked words; line 6 studies focus on the interactions, line 7: gene-related peptide, line 9: RD and, line 9: <b>SRD are</b>, line 14: especially the</p> <p>Line 25 and line 34 Correct the word vasodilation (<b>vasodilatation</b>)</p> <p>In the part Diagnosis and Prognosis paragraph 1line separate the two words <b>Doppler and</b>, line 13: <b>imaging might</b>, Paragraph 2 line 2 <b>separate the two words SRD tend</b></p> <p><b>In the part</b> Patient-centered Treatment Perspective paragraph 1 line 3 <b>SEPARATE THE TWO WORDS lifestyle modifications/</b> Line 4 separate the two word cold exposure, Paragraph 3 line 1 correct the <b>comorbid/ line 26, 28 and 30 Correct the word vasodilation</b></p> <p><b>In part Surgical Interventions line 2 and 5 correct the word:</b> nonhealing/ line 4 Separate the two words: <b>the fingernail</b></p> | <p>1. Both ScienceDirect and Minireview are correct.</p> <p>2. RD expressed fully</p> <p>3. Contraction of two words happens due to technical problem during transfer of files and it is not in our control. We have decontracted two joined words throughout the manuscript highlighted in <b>YELLOW</b> and we, and our special editorial desk staff will take care of such contracted words during editing and galley proof reading. Thank you for your efforts to identify such irritating two joined words.</p> <p>3. Nailfold, autoantibodies, microvascular, comorbid, minireview; socioclinical all could be used individually as a single word.</p> <p>4. Numbers included in Figure 1 are removed from the text.</p> <p>5.The words which are not separated are acceptable and correct.</p> |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION<br>comments         | <p>There are many abbreviations in text. Some like appear before their full meaning. This Situation render very difficult the reading. So authors need to create the session Abbreviation where lecturer can refer.</p> <p>There are too many linked words in the text. Authors must read carefully and separate all these words.</p>   | Both concerns are addressed carefully and thanks  |
| <b>Optional/General</b><br>comments       | The authors did a very good job, dealing with a very large bibliography research. A good analysis of these several references allowed them to do a good job. This is why this work provides a good overview of Raynaud's disease: a complex clinical condition in older people, frequently reported in women and despite extensive research its pathophysiology is poorly understood. It clinical features and prominent need management through a variety of treatments, including medical acupuncture. There is no standard treatment for primary and secondary Raynaud's disease.  | <b>Thank you very much for your constructive comments SIR</b>   |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |