SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
A correction is necessary in the Material/Methods section under inclusion	It was actually written by mistake.
criteria (edentulous patients).	As there was no inclusion criteria in edentulous category like "All
	teeth should be present".
#3 states "All teeth should be present". Do they mean no natural teeth should be present?	I have deleted it in revised paper.
Also, in the conclusion a definitive statement is necessary such as: Based on the results of this study the lateral cephalogram is more reliable compared to the facebow transfer in determining the maxillary occlusal cant.	In conclusion, I have added this statement in revised paper.
	Thanks for this reviewing this paper.
	Regards

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)