



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48865
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidence and Outcomes of Community Acquired Pneumonia in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease at King Abdulaziz Medical City, Riyadh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The first two paragraphs of conclusions seems like discussions, manage it. The third paragraph is the limitations and should be written in conclusions. Only third paragraph matches the topic.	Thanks for your review and comments. We have modified the manuscript accordingly, thus we added conclusion section that contains the limitation and the final conclusion., the modified have been from line 165 to 180.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rephrase the second last sentence of results in the abstract section. 2. Line 25-27, italicize the biological names. 3. Line 40, remove 'have' from the sentence. 4. Line 52, patients is repeated, remove one and remove full stop in between patients. 5. Line 53, use comma after parenthesis, 6. Line 76, use were for are. 7. Line 87, the sentence is incomplete. 8. Never start a sentence with numbers. Manage in line 92 and throughout the manuscript. 9. Line 103-104, single sentence cannot be a paragraph. Manage it. 10. Line 106-108, use past tense. 11. Lines 115-117 and 122-124, rephrase and use past tense. 12. Line 139, The sentence seems incomplete after 'conducted at. 	We have modified the manuscript in the revised version according to the reviewer comments.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Line 103, remove 99 used before parenthesis.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	