



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49292
Title of the Manuscript:	Asymptomatic Bacteriuria and Candida colonization among Pregnant Women in a District Hospital in Eastern Uganda
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract is generally too long. Fine a way to cut off SOME not too relevant information.</p> <p>LINE 21: Throughout the article, most of the scientific names are not in italics</p> <p>Recast line 28- through 31</p> <p>Line 32: the concluding statement is not emphatic. It does not correlate with the actual aim of the study.</p> <p>Page Numbering and line numbering generally inconsistent.</p> <p>Line 101: inappropriate placement of tables and figure labels.</p> <p>PLEASE SEE THE COMMENTS ON THE REVIEWED ARTICLE.</p>	<p>The abstract has been shortened from 564 words to 215</p> <p>All the scientific names of bacteria and Candida have been italicized</p> <p>Major changes were made from line 28-31</p> <p>Amendments have been made to make the conclusion more emphatic and aligned with the study aims</p> <p>numbering has been corrected as advised by the reviewer</p> <p>Tables and figure labels have been placed appropriately</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Line 118 Change Laboratory Procedures to Isolation of Bacteria from Samples'</p>	<p>Changes have been made according to the reviewer's recommendation</p>



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	