



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_49367 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Group B streptococcus colonization in pregnancy: Prevalence, determinants and antibacterial susceptibility pattern in Sagamu, Nigeria |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | A study on the prevalence and antibiotic sensitivity of Group B Streptococcus: 1. The Abstract should focus on the resistant rather than sensitive antibiotics since conclusion is focused on the resistance 2. It was concluded in the Abstract that low parity, young maternal age and term pregnancies are potential risk factors for colonization. I tend to disagree that young age and term pregnancies are risk factors since they showed no significant trend | 1. Abstract (Results) - last sentence- "The resistance of group B streptococcus isolates to penicillin and ampicillin was 39.2% and 37.3% respectively." 2. Abstract (Conclusion)- The statement "Low parity, young maternal age and term pregnancies are potential risk factors for colonization" Replaced by: "Factors such as low parity, young maternal age and term pregnancies are associated with increased odds of colonization" . |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No ethical issues | The research was performed in accordance with laid down ethical standards. The principles of respect for autonomy, informed consent, beneficence and justice were strictly adhered to. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the health research ethics committee of Olabisi Onabanjo University |