



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_49029
Title of the Manuscript:	USE OF MICA FUNGIN FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF A CLUSTER OF INVASIVE ASPERGILLOSIS IN CHILDREN WITH CANCER
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line 7 in the introduction: Do you mean '127 cases in 123 patients' or '127 episodes in 123 patients' or something else? Several paragraphs need re-editing and a grammatical check, e.g. the 'Results' section in the abstract, the first paragraph on page 4, lines 18 and 19 on page 10, and others. Reference 39: Before the link, the reference should be written in an appropriate format. 	This was changed into "127 episodes in 123 patients". The mentioned statements were modified. The entire manuscript was revised to improve it. This was done.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	