



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_49089
Title of the Manuscript:	Medication Errors among Healthcare Workers in a Major HIV treatment Centre in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In abstract – avoid abbreviations NCCMERP 2. Introduction is so lengthy , instead of this divide in to the background and significant of the study with clear objectives 3. In study setting- unwanted description can be get reduced. 4. Instead of study population title, mention as inclusion and exclusion criteria 5. In analysis – descriptive statistics only used. 6. In discussion can include little more current studies because it makes the study findings to be highlighted. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abbreviation has been replaced with full meaning in Abstract. 2. Introduction section has been modified. 3. Some unnecessary descriptions removed. 4. This recommendation is noted. 5. It was stated in 'Data Management' that descriptive statistics was used for analysis. 6. More current studies have been included in the discussion.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The recommendations can be written separately. 2. If pos, use the inferential statistics for association if included in the objectives. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Journal SDI paper template was used. 2. Inferential Statistics for association was not part of the objectives.
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In sampling technique – add flow chart 2. If pos , figures can be included 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This recommendation is noted 2. This recommendation is noted.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	