



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#"><u>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</u></a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_33540</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Growth, nodulation and nutrients content of cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp) following Zinc fertilizer rates in the semi-deciduous forest zone of Ghana</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Suggested corrections were made on the text and are shown in red.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Figure 1a should be prepared again.</p> <p>In the Table 1, analysis of variance and LSD evaluations should be re-established. Because the mean values in the 'effective nodules (%)' section are very different (32.64% and 65.13%), but they are shown as NS.</p> <p>For Table 3, 4, 5, and 6. If there is a statistically significant difference between the averages, the averages should be grouped with the letters.</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The necessary corrections should be made	