



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEAI_45695 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Economic Opportunity for Investment in Soybean+Sunflower Crop System in Mato Grosso, Brazil |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Overall manuscript written well and focused on well planned research work, I suggest only one thing that author should use international units to present research data like yield kg ha⁻¹ etc. | I added this information in Table 4. Additionally, in the methodology there is unit conversion information (from kg to sacks per ha ⁻¹) on lines 115-116. |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>Topic: Economic Opportunity for Investment in Soybean-Sunflower Cropping System in Mato Grosso, Brazil</p> <p>Line 26- <i>annuus</i> Line 49-50: soybean-sunflower cropping system Line 53: Materials and methods Line 55: crop production Line 62: total cropped area Line 219: In case of sunflower Line 221: per ha⁻¹ Line 223: maximum economic return Line 225: soybean-sunflower cropping system Line 243: soybean-sunflower Line 249-250: R\$ 387.785,73 and R\$ 257,196.62, respectively with 22 and 31 % profit ranges Line 266-269: The results demonstrate that the producer has to efficiently manage the acquisition of fertilizers (with high representativeness in the costs sheet) and harvest not merely on costs but also in efficiency and minimization of field losses, as insisted upon by [26]. Line 270: In case of analyse Line 296: This may be due</p> | All corrections have been made. |
| Optional/General comments | | |



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |