



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_45715
Title of the Manuscript:	Pollen viability and fruit yield of coriander genotypes inoculated with different concentrations of Meloidogyne incognita race 1
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The introduction is too lengthy. The authors should narrow down a bit on the nematode (Meloidogyne incognita) but try to add some write up on coriander. The authors should be clear on the rationale for using 2 genotypes of coriander. The material and method should be reframed to be more concise and comprehensible.	Adjusted. Thanks for the suggestions. There is little information available regarding the resistance of the coriander to the nematoid. These varieties were chosen because they are the most cultivated in Brazil. Only the truth corresponds to 90% of the seed market.
Minor REVISION comments	Tables 3 and table 5 could be presented graphically so as to enhance the comprehension at a glance.	We found tables better, because they would be enough graphics.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Adjusted. Thanks for the suggestions.