



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46286
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Quality of Papaya 'Golden THB' Seedlings Cultivated Under Different Irrigation Depths
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract Indicate the year the study was conducted Results and conclusion no clearly showed in the abstract	All alterations suggested by the evaluator were accepted and corrected from the manuscript. Thanks for the comments.
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract Indicate the year the study was conducted Results and conclusion no clearly showed in the abstract	All alterations suggested by the evaluator were accepted and corrected from the manuscript. Thanks for the comments.
Optional/General comments	Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and discussion are ok Minor work on conclusion and state your conclusion and recommendation right With these issues amended the paper should be accepted for publication	Thanks for the comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Yes. All alterations suggested by the evaluator were accepted and corrected from the manuscript. Thanks for the comments.