



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48170
Title of the Manuscript:	STRATEGIES FOR INCREASING THE APPLE EPIDERMIS RED COLORED WITH PHYSIOGROW® COLOR
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is accepted with minor changes. Good statistical support and the variables evaluated were adequate. During the revision were found some corrections.</p> <p>Title: Is ok</p> <p>Abstract: Is ok, but some corrections were done in the manuscript.</p> <p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To describe the economic importance of apple production in Brazil (acreage, yield, annual production, production value). 2. Little corrections were done in the manuscript. <p>Materials and methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To describe the agronomic characteristics of 'Royal Gala' apple cultivar. 2. Reference [17] it was added in the Line 97. 3. Some corrections were done in this section. <p>Results and Discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Are good with proper evidences. Tables are clear. 2. Authors can include some photographs which will add more value to the article. 3. Some corrections were done in the manuscript. <p>Conclusion: Is ok. Only little corrections were done.</p> <p>References:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Are complete. 2. Some corrections were done in accordance with the rules of this journal. 	<p>The authors are grateful for the suggestions and corrections proposed by the reviewers.</p> <p>we highlight that the corrections were performed and the required information was added to the work.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	