



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48301
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of 2,4-D Choline as Influenced by Weed Size in the Texas High Plains
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No paragraph in abstract.</li> <li>2. The write up needs to be justified.</li> <li>3. Times New Roman is most accepted with Font Size 12.</li> <li>4. Only scientific names should be in italics.</li> <li>5. The body of the text should be in double spacing. Only abstract should be in single spacing as corrected from lines 32 – 42.</li> <li>6. All tables should be in three lines.</li> </ol>	Abstract has been written according to journal format Formatted the manuscript Corrected Correction made in the manuscript
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	