



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48330
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT BANANA 'PRATA-ANÃ' BUNCH AGES ON POST-HARVEST QUALITY
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please, write the main results in the abstract section.</p> <p>For physico-chemical measurements, please specify number of fruit used at each sampling.</p> <p>The manuscript needs extensive English editing.</p> <p>The conclusions section should be entirely rewritten.</p>	<p>The main results have been described in the summary.</p> <p>They were: Lower values as to hue, chroma, soluble solids, titratable acidity, total sugar, reducing sugar and electrolyte extravasation were found for bananas harvested with 16 weeks.</p> <p>It was specified the number of fruits used in each sampling for the physical and chemical analyzes, which were measured in 4 fruits for the physical analyzes and for the chemical analyzes was a sample composed of the 4 fruits of the bouquet, in each repetition.</p> <p>The English revision was made.</p> <p>The conclusion has been rewritten.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	