



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48446
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOMASS AND STOCK OF NUTRIENTS IN DIFFERENT GENOTYPES OF Eucalyptus IN SOUTHERN BRAZIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This ms contains useful information but needs heavy editing and checking: 1. Text needs to be proofed and much more concise. 2. Numbers should use "." for decimal point. 3. Conclusions can be expanded. 4. References should be arranged by order of use. 5. Table 6 values correct?	1. The text has been revised and amended as requested by the reviewers. 2. The units of the tables have been changed (comma by point). 3. I have expanded the conclusion. 4. References are in accordance with the journal's standards. 5. Yes. The values in Table 6 are correct (I changed the commas by point).
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	The article was corrected at the suggestion of the reviewers.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>