



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48465
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential of Artificial Bird Perches for Recovery Different Areas in Brazilian Semiarid
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No paragraph in abstract. The preferred Font is Times New Roman with Font Size 12. 2. Only scientific names should be italicized. 3. Tables should be in three lines only. 4. Information(s) obtained on the web should include the date in which the information was retrieved. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract was prepared according to the norms of the journal, as well as the source used was the one required by the journal (Arial with font size 10). 2. The text has been revised and italicized in Keywords and the Footnotes of the tables and figures, according to the guidelines of the journal. 3. The tables were edited according to the reviewer's suggestion. 4. References were reviewed and corrected.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.