



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48499
Title of the Manuscript:	Selection of models for above-ground biomass in a Eucalyptus urophylla stand
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This ms contains useful information but needs heavy editing:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Text needs to be proofed and more concise – omit phrases such “It was observed...” in Line 185.</li> <li>2. Line 51 – a hybrid clone? If so, identify it.</li> <li>3. Move Lines 55-72 to Section 3.1</li> <li>4. Table 1 footnotes don't match contents</li> <li>5. Figure 1 needs a legend with definitions or add to caption.</li> <li>6. Numbers should use “.” for decimal point.</li> <li>7. Move Table 2 to after Figure 2 and cite it in Line 134.</li> <li>8. Lines 154 and 173 – “shell” = bark?</li> <li>9. Move Table 3 to Line 119</li> <li>10. Add # of trees measured to Table 4 or its caption.</li> <li>11. Replace Figure 4 with a table.</li> </ol> <p>Conclusions can be expanded to include application of results.</p> <p>References format need to follow guidelines consistently.</p>	<p>We appreciate the important contributions of the reviewers.</p> <p>All suggestions from reviewers were met except for items 3 and 9. There is no need to move table 3 because it represents part of the results.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	