



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48523
Title of the Manuscript:	Maximum contact time of fertilizer with Piată grass seed for implantation of integrated systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Title</b> It is necessary to revise the title <b>Abstract</b> It is necessary to revise the abstract <b>Keywords</b> It is necessary to revise the keywords <b>introduction</b> It is necessary to revise long sentences because tend to be more difficult to understand. <b>Materials and Methods</b> It necessary to revise sentence construction and consider not starting sentence using To and For. It necessary to revise complex sentences as they are complicated that a reader will get lost trying to follow its meaning. It is necessary to revise long sentences and keep them simple and reduce wordy phrases It is necessary to revise data analysis <b>Results and Discussion</b> It is necessary to revise wordy sentences including long and complex sentences It is necessary to revise references It is necessary to revise discussion in the logical order to show patterns or themes among the results. <b>Conclusion</b> It is necessary to revise conclusion and interpreted in relation to the results <b>References</b> It is necessary to follow journal guidelines and revise list of references following changes made on previous sections (Materials & Methods, and Results and Discussion)	The notes were corrected as the reviewer requested.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Check formatting, spacing, units (e.g. ml) & punctuations	The notes were corrected as the reviewer requested.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is very relevant and has potential but requires <b>major</b> revisions and English Language Specialist before it can be published.	The notes were corrected as the reviewer requested.  There was a grammatical correction of the entire manuscript by specialized company.  We appreciate the contributions.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>