



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEAI_48526 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effects of Soil Type in Amount of Nutrient in Eucalyptus urograndis: Macronutrients |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Abstract should be improved. Time period of experiment with experimental design should be incorporated Should include more background and significance of the work in the introduction Materials method section should be rewritten with details of the biomass determination with equations as well More details should be added in the discussion section to publish as a full length article | The Abstract, Materials method and Introduction was improved. Papers were added to the discussion. |
| Minor REVISION comments | Reference should be checked according to journals format Should add more data and details to publish as a full length article | Suggestions accepted and modified in the manuscript. |
| Optional/General comments | Grammatical errors should be checked and manuscript should be improved with proper English writing | Suggestions accepted and modified in the manuscript. |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | No. |