



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48642
Title of the Manuscript:	CANONICAL CORRELATION BETWEEN SOIL ATTRIBUTES AND FOLIAR NUTRIENTS OF CONILON COFFEE TREES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract totalizing 80totaling 80 Line 17: The cultivate of coffeethe cultivation line 24: For author [2],replace with..Fagundes [2] line 33: by authors [5].....replace with....Silva and Lima [5]. line 43: The authors [9].....see commet above Line 61: separate numbers from units Line 63 etc: see previous comments crop of 2015/16; 2crop of 2016/17; Mdfootnotes are of smaller font size tere are two many tables....some like table 1 & 2 should be graphed line 231: is it correct? Table 3 and 4 of pearsons correlation were not discussed in detail. References needs total overhaul. They are never written in capital letters. however you may start each word with uppercasse letter</p>	All corrections have been considered and corrected accordingly
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>