



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48642
Title of the Manuscript:	CANONICAL CORRELATION BETWEEN SOIL ATTRIBUTES AND FOLIAR NUTRIENTS OF CONILON COFFEE TREES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good original research paper fit for publication by JEAI. However, some corrections need to be done on the paper before it can be considered for publication. First, at the level of materials and methods, it is important to divide it into distinct subsections as follows: location of study area; data collection procedure; and data analysis procedure. This will go a long way to ease comprehension. Equally, the statistical software used for data analysis should be imperatively stated. This will permit the reader/other researcher(s) to decipher if the statistical software used was appropriate for the data collected. Secondly, the results and discussion section should be divided into subsections following the specific objectives of the study. This will ease understanding of the paper's findings. Last but not the least, the findings of the paper should be properly discussed and this should be done in a comparative manner i.e. comparing and contrasting the findings of the present study with the findings of other authors who have conducted related research. Hence the most recent research papers (2014 – 2019) that fall in line with the subject matter of the study should be sought for and used to discuss the findings of the paper.	Thank you for your valuable comments We have tried to modify the manuscript as per your comments Corrected Recent references have been added
Minor REVISION comments	Seek for and cite the most recent papers in the domain. Citing research works dating to as far back as the 1920s to 1990s does not do justice to the paper	
Optional/General comments	Good original research paper very much fit for publication by JEAI. However, the afore-cited comments should be taken into account before the paper is considered for publication.	Thank you

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>