



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48700
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Brachiarias in the improvement of physical and chemical attributes of a Yellow Oxisol
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	On the average the paper is ok. However there are minor comments/revisions that need to be addressed. The use of terms which are not standard should be checked. The word "witness" has been used in several places. I found that this term should be replaced by the word "control" when one is publishing in English journals. In the tables the use of commas instead of decimal places should be checked and corrected. In some areas some statements are not clear and have to be rephrased. These comments/revisions are given on the respective sections of the document. I recommend the paper to be accepted for publication after addressing the indicated corrections/comments below in the Minor revisions section.	All corrections suggested by reviewers were made.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	There are numerous typographical, conceptual and other minor corrections to be made in respective pages of the document which I have indicated. These corrections on the overall are minor and doable. I have highlighted them in red. The author(s) should systematically address them before the paper is finally accepted for publication.	All corrections suggested by reviewers were made.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	None	All corrections suggested by reviewers were made.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	