



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

<b>EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)</b>	<b>Authors' response to editor's comments</b>
<p>Please explain the word *control* in Material and Methods. Authors use this word by default, the reader does not know what *control* is. It is only on page 8 that there is an explanation. Does total 120 sampling contain tests for *control*. You have not improved the description of the screening method. There are too many repetitions. It must be corrected. You have not completed the methods of soil chemical analysis. You did not even give the weight of the sample or how many repetitions you did the tests. There is no information about the accuracy of the measurement. This is important information for this research purpose. You completely ignored my comment on statistical analysis. Again. Why different tests for medium analysis were used. What was the package for used analysis? Have the assumptions of the normal distribution and the equation of variance have been checked? Could parametric analysis be performed? Please provide statistical evidence. And an additional comment. Let me correct, modernize the list of reference and write in accordance with the requirements of the Journal.</p>	<p>We used two statistical tests to compare the means between the treatments (Tukey) and Dunnett when we wanted to compare the means of the treatments only with the means of the control;</p> <p>was explained the meaning of the word control as it was suggested and also the methodology as well as the number of samples for chemical analysis;</p> <p>was also rewritten the number of replicates of the total samples as questioned;</p> <p>As for the references they were written according to the norms of the magazines and following the suggestion of one of the reviewers.</p>