



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48763
Title of the Manuscript:	Zoning of water deficiency risk for conventional cotton, in Mato Grosso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are many typing, spelling and grammatical mistakes in the lines: 58, 62, 70, 109, 192, 281, 288, 296, 304 which should be corrected. 2. Line 297: Sentence should not be started with number of reference. 3. Line 342 and Table 3: unit per ha should be mentioned. 4. Name of software used for variogram analysis and kriging should be mentioned in Material and Methods. 	The paper was submitted for English revision, the productivity unit was replaced with kg, and the software used in the methodology.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	