



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48764
Title of the Manuscript:	Homogeneity evaluation of historical rainfall and temperature series in Mato Grosso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Results discussion should be more deeper and extensive 2. Results validation should be perform. 3. English language need to be improve. 	As requested the discussion was deepened, the article was sent for review in a certified company, and validation was made by comparing the results obtained with other published works in the area.
Minor REVISION comments		corrected
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	