



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48765
Title of the Manuscript:	Biomass production and nutritional characterization of Eucalyptus benthamii in the Pampa Biome, Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. INTRODUCTION - Page no. 1, line no. 16 write occur to instead of occurs in. - Page no. 1, line no. 19 write distributed among instead of distributed in, write . -Page no. 1, line no. 23 write increase from instead of increase of. -Page no. 1, line no.35 write replacement of instead of replacement for. -Page no. 2, line no.41 write increased from instead of increased through. 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS 2.1 Characterization of the experimental area -Page no. 2, line no.74 write manually for instead of manually in. 2.2 Experimental design and data collection -Page no. 3, line no.95write branches instead of branches. -Page no. 3, line no.108 write soil to analyze instead of soil analyzes. - Page no. 5, line no. 66write values of instead of values for. - Page no. 5, line no. 174write increment of instead of increment with. 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION - Page no. 6, line no. 215 write contents of instead of contents in.	We appreciate the agility and the suggestions of the reviewers. All the notes were made.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	