



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48798
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nitrogen Use Efficiency: Farming Practices and Sustainability</b>
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Change manuscript title to "Nitrogen Use Efficiency: Farming Practices and Sustainability"</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>Please do not use Abbreviations in abstract. recast sentences in red and use small letters for yellow ones. also separate numbers from units of measurement.</p>	Everything have been changed according to the useful suggestions
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Line 46: citation is unacceptable. name the authors as well what is NUE at line 53 at first mention? Line 53/60/65/: separate Line 58: recast Line 73: recast Line 83: the requirements of what? Line 89: recast Line 94: change to "these are not synthesized" Line 96: change to "sufficient food" Line 97: rephrase sentence line 99: change to "optimum production" Line 100: rephrase sentence 2. Excess of anything in life is poison:.....please re-caption. subtitle is unscientific line 118: change to "apply it indiscriminately" line 124: change to "organisms" Line 126/134/139/140/176/241: give correct formula line 164: incorrect line 169/170: recast sentence Line 191: add reference Line 202: many studies and no single refs? line 215: recast line 224/234/240/249: add the ref number line 227: rephrase line 320: then? line 324: rephrase line 348: use "or" line 346: "is" missing line 372/382: incorrect sentence line 440: recst why the gap between conclusion and body of the work? line 453: plural line 454: on-going</p>	Everything have been changed according to the useful suggestions



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>line 456: rephrase</p> <p>REFERENCES which does ref 1-4, 6,8 go without journal title/issue/volume? line 488/507 etc: plz no et al in referencing</p> <p>overall references were poorly written. authors need to overhaul their references. They must be consistent and specific. journal titles, volume and issue numbers and page numbers were omitted at will and all has to be corrected. Text books were not referenced properly. see ref 18, 19, 20 &amp; 21 no consistency whatsoever and runs across the board in the manuscript.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No