



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49045
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Insecticidal Potential of Six Plants Leaves Powders Against Acanthoscelides obtectus Say on Stored Phaseolus vulgaris L.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work is interesting several researchers work in this axis of biological control. The author did not really detail the material and methods part as he did not specify the month of plant harvesting. the discussion part is not really enriched. The part bibliographic references to check according to the newspaper what is really missing is the phytochemical screening of each plant used	Corrected portions are heightened with yellow colour. The discussion section has been enriched. The month of plants harvesting has also been stated. Phytochemicals have been included in the text.
Minor REVISION comments	there are mistakes that need to be corrected there are incorrect sentences it is necessary to add phytochemical screening	THANK YOU.
Optional/General comments	The manuscript need to be enriched	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	