



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49045
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Insecticidal Potential of Six Plants Leaves Powders Against Acanthoscelides obtectus Say on Stored Phaseolus vulgaris L.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The subject of the article is current and the abstract is in agreement with the results obtained from the work. - Anti-inflammatory is redundant at line 91. - From line 219 to 235 and line 247 to 253, the authors do not discuss their results, but present those obtained by others. The works mentioned in the discussion should have been mentioned at the introduction to justify the present work on the six plants. - The discussion part of this manuscript must be repeated, rewritten because the results obtained were not well discussed. Authors should have also screened the phytochemical constituents of their plants to explain and justify their activities. 	<p>All corrected portions are heighted with yellow colour. Line 91 has been effected.</p> <p>Results have been discussed.</p> <p>The works mentioned in discussion are also relevant in the discussion section.</p> <p>Authors did not screen the plants because phytochemicals of these plants exist in literature. However, they have been included in the text.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		THANK YOU.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	