



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49065
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of seed treatments and containers on chilli seed viability
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. You need to state in the materials and methods where the experiment was carried out and where the seeds were obtained.</li> <li>2. You need to state in details how the seeds were treated with the fungicides.</li> <li>3. You need to place subtitles of each step in the materials and methods to enhance clarity.</li> <li>4. You need to bring out a concise experimental design for the experiment. Bring out the factors of the experiment, treatment combinations, treatment levels and number of plots.</li> <li>5. You need to explain in detail in the manuscript how you collected each parameter in the experiment and explain the how the parameters were calculated.</li> <li>6. The results presented in the tables are wrongly presented. You are supposed to bring out the main effect of each fungicide and the main effect of storage container on each parameter evaluated. You are also to bring out the interaction effect of both the fungicide and the storage container on each parameter evaluated in the experiment.</li> <li>7. Please, place the tables at appropriate sections in the results and discussion segment.</li> <li>8. Arrange the manuscript according to journal specifications.</li> <li>9. Please, make compulsory grammatical corrections in the entire manuscript.</li> </ol>	All corrections have been incorporated in the revised manuscript
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	