



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49085
Title of the Manuscript:	FLUORESCENCE AND GROWTH OF EGGPLANT UNDER IRRIGATION LEVELS AND SILICON DOSES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Author used some arbitrary compound words that should be written together</li> <li>Review comments are highlighted in the manuscript</li> <li>Suggestions to alternative expressions are marked in red text</li> <li>Author should also note the corrected or added punctuations (also highlighted in red text)</li> </ul>	Dear Reviewer, thank you for the suggestions presented, they were of great value to improve the writing of our article. However the suggestion presented in the ANOVA table, in this specific case, because it is a qualitative factor (whose data are presented in the course of the text) and quantitative (which are established by regressions), it is not interesting to add the averages of all treatments in the table, this being only illustrative to show the behavior of the factors in each variable studied.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Space should be created between the year and journal volume in the reference section</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	