



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49085
Title of the Manuscript:	FLUORESCENCE AND GROWTH OF EGGPLANT UNDER IRRIGATION LEVELS AND SILICON DOSES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Two years data must be required. It is not wise to use single year data in the paper	Dear reviewer, because it is an experiment carried out in a greenhouse, where a destructive evaluation will be carried out at the end of the experiment, it becomes complicated the continuity of the experiment for longer periods, due to the structure itself. I believe that the use of two dates becomes necessary in field experiments, because the availability of space and cultural treatments allow the experiment to remain for a longer period of time.
Minor REVISION comments	acknowledgement not included	
Optional/General comments	make references uniform	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	