



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49085
Title of the Manuscript:	FLUORESCENCE AND GROWTH OF EGGPLANT UNDER IRRIGATION LEVELS AND SILICON DOSES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	It should be published with a minor revision to check the references, and to check the format because they are not even in alphabetical order. Also check the following references and other examples. http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?pid=S1983-21252018000300737&script=sci_arttext https://iicbe.org/upload/9702C0314149.pdf https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227411432_Yield_and_water_use_of_eggplants_Solanum_melongena_L_under_full_and_deficit_irrigation_regimes	Dear Reviewer, Thanks for your suggestions. I believe that the references presented in the introduction are sufficient to express the central idea of the work, with the purpose of expressing the importance of alternatives to combat the effects of water stress on the photosynthetic performance of eggplant plants. References in the order they appear in the text are journal standards and are not presented in alphabetical order.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	