



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49128
Title of the Manuscript:	Preliminary Evaluation: Hydrocarbons, Cobalt, & Urea Enhance Bacteria Benefits to Plants
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract:</b> Do not put references in here.</p> <p><b>Introduction and Discussion:</b> According to <b>GUIDELINE FOR AUTHORS</b> (available in <a href="http://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/about/submissions#authorGuidelines">http://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/about/submissions#authorGuidelines</a>), "References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets". Please correct that!</p> <p><b>Results:</b> According to <b>GUIDELINE FOR AUTHORS</b>, "Tables &amp; figures should be placed inside the text. Tables and figures should be presented as per their appearance in the text".  It is missing a clear description of the results.</p>	<p>Requested revisions were corrected.</p> <p>Author is unclear of meaning of "missing clear description of results", the author is willing to make the necessary corrections if provided clarity in the area.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>