



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49215
Title of the Manuscript:	Vegetative Development and Productivity of the Watermelon Under Different Irrigation Depths in the Northwest Region of Espírito Santo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	should be published with minor corrections such as making a new bibliographic review and compare with new publications on the matter and rewrite for example this article https://www.researchgate.net/publication/330484434_Quality_of_Watermelon_'Top_Gun'_Fruit_Submitted_to_Different_Irrigation_Depths_in_the_Northwest_Region_of_Espirito_Santo	The suggested work was added in the review of the study.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

