



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_46710
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of transportation Infrastructure on Economic growth: Empirical evidence from Saudi Arabia 1988-2017.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study is very interesting and its quality is good. However, I'd like to see some improvements as follows. 1) The language needs to advance helping by some native speaker. 2) Some grammar errors should be checked, like line 117, "Will presented" is not correct, and etc. 3)Some of the related literature need to be considered and enriched the whole literature, like "Research on the optimization of new energy vehicle industry research and development subsidy about generic technology based on the three-way decisions", Journal of Cleaner Production, 2019, 212: 46-55 4) The significance of the article may be improved to highlight the contributions. 5) It is recommended to the respected authors to use the same font size for whole article.	All the points have been taken in consideration And corrected
Minor REVISION comments	Please check the reference style based on the journal's publishing guideline.	
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	