



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_48670
Title of the Manuscript:	Fun Culture @ Work Place: A Review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The title of this paper should be linked with containing this study. E.g. Fun Culture in the Work Place: A Systematic Review. 2) A literature review has not mention the literature sources (databases) and search duration (years). 3) Did not show findings and conclusion in manuscript. 	Modified as per
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Did not found in text reference in Reference List, for example Hofstede, 2001. 2) Definitions of different authors summary (table) should include year(s). 3) The abstract is not well written as difficult to discover 1) what are you trying to solve and 2) how that adds to current insight. 	Checked
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study is a very actual one. The prominent authors of culture and leadership theories shall not be leave out. All relevant literature reviews should map and present in summary tables. The in-text references are not recent.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>