



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JEMT_49184</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Application of Portfolio approach towards Energy Security: A case study of Japan and implications for India</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | None  |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The formats of references should be consistent. The references should be formatted according to the journal style (JEMT).</li> <li>The figures should be formatted according to the journal style (JEMT).</li> </ol> | <b>Agreed with reviewer. Revised as per JEMT.</b>   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | None  |   |

**PART 2:**

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | <b>No ethical stated by reviewer. Agreed with reviewer.</b>   |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>