



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JENRR_48412</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ENFORCEMENT OF ACCESS TO EFFECTIVE TECHNICAL SUPPORT SERVICES IN THE KENYAN SOLAR ENERGY SYSTEM</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Check English Grammar. Rewrite the paper as Journal format. Structure of the paper is not Good. Update the references.</b>	Done
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Reader can't understand this manuscript as per current structure. Rewrite the paper.	Done

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>