



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_48508
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of High Temperature in the Combustion Chamber of a Drop Tube Furnace (DTF) for Different Thermochemical Processes
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Suggested Title: 1) Effects of High Temperature in the Combustion Chamber of a Drop Tube Furnace (DTF) for Different Thermochemical Processes 2) Effects of High Temperature in the Combustion Chamber of a Drop Tube Furnace (DTF) used for Thermo-conversion Processes 3) Effects of High Temperature in the Combustion Chamber of a Drop Tube Furnace (DTF) used for Biomass Conversion Processes	The paper title was changed for “Effects of High Temperature in the Combustion Chamber of a Drop Tube Furnace (DTF) for Different Thermochemical Processes”
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract – References: Due to obvious grammatical errors which generally impaired understanding of the manuscript, the reviewer decides to make his review comments in the manuscript. Blue colour indicates possible replacement Red colour indicates that the author (s) need (s) to recap or reframe the marked portions {??} mark indicates lack of clarity	In Abstract is not placed references All the reviewers suggestions were made
Optional/General comments	A sincere revision of the manuscript is necessary. Also check manuscript preparation guide for requisite format and design of this journal.	All the reviewers' suggestions were made... Thank you very much!!!

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	