



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_47628
Title of the Manuscript:	CHEMICAL PROCESS ABSORPTION COLUMN DESIGN FOR CO ₂ SEQUESTRATION
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This document seems an academic report of a Lab experience of Operations of separation. It lacks of any scientific contribution.</p> <p>The information stated in the introduction section should be improved. Do not forget describe another kind of processes, the adsorbents, new technologies for adsorption, etc.</p> <p>Calculations (3.1 section) should not be shown into the main body of the paper.</p> <p>The quality of the figures should be improved.</p> <p>The conclusions do not correspond to this study.</p> <p>The number of references should be increased.</p>	<p>We have revised the manuscript as suggested by the reviewer</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Revised accordingly</p> <p>Conclusion modified</p> <p>Reworked on reference section</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>