



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>After peer reviewing the research paper by two reviewers,the author has failed to address the comments by reviewers like Enhance the quality of English and clarity,</p> <p>Shorten including through the presentation of computations and results, and sketches in formats place more of them on a page more presentably, Calculations (3.1 section) should not be shown into the main body of the paper, The information stated in the introduction section should be improved.</p> <p>Do not forget describe another kind of processes, the adsorbents, new technologies for adsorption, The quality of the figures should be improved etc. Despite these, only four references of (Coulson and Richardson, 1968), Watson R.T (2001), (Coulson and Richardson, 2005), (Kister, 1992) out of 14 from reference list are mentioned in the text.</p>	<p>Thank you for your valuable comments.</p> <p>We have tried to modify</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>Thank you for your valuable suggestions, We have tried to modify the paper accordingly.</p>