



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_48519
Title of the Manuscript:	Validating Visual Modflow Numerical Model To Predict Future Impact Of Brine Disposal On Groundwater
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I suggest enumerating again the references, that starting at number 1, now, on the text the first reference is number 3.	done
Line 22: I suggest changing the word sanctuary to another more suitable, like as ecosystem or something similar.	done
It is unusual start the sentence with a reference (Ex. Lines 29, 30, 33, etc.). Commonly references are placed on the end of the sentences.	done
Line 39: I suggest describing the conclusions of that reference (in short), as introduction of the comparison.	done
Authors shall to take care with punctuation marks. Paragraphs about the same subject must be linked by full stop, if they were different subject is recommended new paragraph (lines: 104-105).	I added them again
In my opinion, the manuscript is getting better; structure, results organization were improved... However, the model's results were removed. They are not the aim of the paper, but they were interesting.	The available data for doing this paper is only from the experiment.
By other hand when I talked about validation and calibration of model, I didn't talk calibration with experimental model (because this is the core of this work), I was talking about validation and calibration values that were calculated by Visual Modflow.	