



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_49042
Title of the Manuscript:	Sewer water effect on the corrosion of caste iron before and after treatment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Wastewater or waste water, please check the difference.p.2 2. For should to p.2 3. PH change to pH, p. 7 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Was done 2. Was done 3. Was done
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In equation 2, D was not defined. Is D in equation 1 the same in equation 2? p.8 2. Results and discussion and not Result and discussion p.8 3. $O + 2H_2O + 4e^- \rightarrow 4OH^-$ is not correct or balance, p. 10 4. Table 2 title is not properly written, p. 10 5. Equations 1, 2 on p.8 and equations 1, 2 on p. 10, distinguish between them please. 6. Outlines the conclusion drawn from the work by numbering them. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. D is the density and is the same as that in eq. 2 2. Was done 3. Was corrected and balanced 4. The title was written 5. Was done 6. The conclusion part was modified.
Optional/General comments	No optional/general comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	