



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_38204
Title of the Manuscript:	The investigation and study of the cross-culture social adaption of students from ASEAN in Jiangxi province
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I want to congratulate the writer of this article for his efforts in this paper. However, I wish to state that there is a need to re-visit the paper and make it an academic presentation.</p> <p>Although some previous works in this area were mentioned but the author failed to properly package the introduction and the statement of the problem to reflect a sound academic paper.</p> <p>Also, the style used in the methodology undermines scientific exercise.</p>	I am agreed.
Minor REVISION comments	It appears to me that the author lacks absolute knowledge on the reference format of this journal. It therefore become essential to see to this issue for correction	I have made a revision, thank you for your advice.
Optional/General comments	The literature review should be revisited to reflect hypotheses of the study	The literature is a choice.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>