



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_48126
Title of the Manuscript:	“Improvement of physics numerical problem solving skills of students in Bhutan”.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I think the title has to refer to Bhutan, as the paper and study surely do.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Made changes in the title, research topic and research questions as recommended. Thanks a lot.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>“24 class nine students” in abstract. What does this mean? What is a “class nine student”? Confusing. It does make me wonder why your bibliography is so dated. Why not use more modern studies, like this one:</p> <p>https://www.mff.cuni.cz/veda/konference/wds/proc/pdf12/WDS12_317_f12_Snetinova.pdf</p> <p>This is from Prague and is very well respected. 2012. Your stuff is rather old.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The missed out citations are updated in the work as well as in the reference page.
Optional/General comments	I think this is a good paper which, with these simple revisions, could significantly improve your conclusions.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank you. From my perspective, i did everything i could to make it more reliable. Even then i must look for further feedback and comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	<p>Yes, the participants involved are well protected from any vulnerable coercion. They are benefited from the study with no harm caused during the course of study. Moreover, they are informed about the actual outcome, benefits, risks and discomforts and clarified that she/he does not participate. Eventually the participants were chosen through volunteerism and interests. The readers can trust and avail the findings and researcher is responsible and accountable for any actions.</p>