



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_48126
Title of the Manuscript:	"Improvement of physics numerical problem solving skills of students in Bhutan".
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The names of these authors were cited in the work but they are not referenced (this means that these names are not in the reference page). Please, write them in the reference page.</p> <p>James & Adewale nd Perez & Terragosa (1983) Ausubel (1968) Duffield (1989) page 4. Padgette (1991) page 4. Rinchen (2008) NSB (2010) page 5. Mahajan (2009) page 7. Sherin (2001) page 7. Martinez (1998) page 7. Halloun & Hestenes (1985) page 7. Jenkins (1998) page 7. Frederick (1984) page 8. Springer, Stanne & Donovan (1999) page 9. Heller et al. (1992) page 9.</p> <p>In page 10, please add '& Henderson' to 'Czuk' (This means that it should be like this:-Czuk & Henderson (2005)).</p> <p>Ken (2010) page 10.</p> <p>In page 21, 'Callahan (2009)' should be written as 'Callahan et al. (2009)' [because of the other authors' names at the reference page].</p> <p>The following authors were cited in the work but they are not in the reference page: Page 23, 'Beiser, Mahajan & Choudhury (2009)'. Page 23, 'Chand (1996)'. Page 23, 'Heller (2010)'. Page 24, 'Morgan (2012)'.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The citations are updated in the work as well as in the reference page.
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Yes, the participants involved are well protected from any vulnerable coercion. They are benefited from the study with no harm caused during the course of study. Moreover, they are informed about the actual outcome, benefits, risks and discomforts and clarified that she/he does not participate. Eventually the participants were chosen through volunteerism and interests. The readers can trust and avail the findings and researcher is responsible and accountable for any actions.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>