



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1.The upstream reciprocity has been measured with the help of just one question as it appears from the manuscript.</p> <p>2.The paper is not structured according to the current styles. i.e introduction, methods , results , discussion sections.</p> <p>3.The details of the upstream reciprocity behavior questionnaire are missing.</p>	<p>1.After participants finished reading the experiment's text, they were asked to answer the upstream reciprocity behavior questionnaire. Because we focus the willingness of helping others, we directly ask participants through total evaluations to express their level of willingness of helping others by one question.</p> <p>2.The paper has been structured according to introduction, literature review, methods, results, and conclusion and suggestions.</p> <p>3.shown in page 4, We assessed upstream reciprocity behavior by using a 10-point scale paired with a semantic differential scale, measured as follows. Very low 1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5 – 6 – 7 – 8 – 9 – 10 Very high</p>