



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_49101
Title of the Manuscript:	STEM Revisited: A Paradigm Shift in Teaching and Learning the Science Related Disciplines
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is there any differences between the abbreviation SRD and SLD? Could the authors check them carefully? If they are different, what does SLD mean? I could not find any words for SLD. 2. An interesting paper but the reader is very difficult to identify the significant findings and results of the study. The authors are recommended to add a section to illustrate the critical points from their study. 	<p>The correct abbreviation is SRD and all the typo mistakes have been corrected in the revised paper.</p> <p>The Conclusions section of the paper has been revised clarify the critical points of the study.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line 5, add SRD after Science Related Disciplines. 2. Line 23, SLD or SRD? Lines 26, 29.... 3. Line 131, Fig. 1 or Figure 1? 4. Line 143. Fig. 2 or Figure 2? 	<p>Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>This is a matter of the editor to decide.</p> <p>This is a matter of the editor to decide</p>
Optional/General comments	For academic writing, it is better not to use "we", "our" in expressing ideas. The authors could benchmark with other published papers which usually use passive voice to express ideas.	In all cases the passive voice has been used.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	