Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JGEESI_48139**
Title of the Manuscript:	**HYDROCARBON POTENTIAL OF THE DISANG GROUP OF ROCKS OF THE INNER PALEOGENE FOLD BELT OF ASSAM-ARAKAN BASIN EXPOSED ALONG THE DIMAPUR-SENAPATI ROAD SECTION OF NAGALAND AND MANIPUR, INDIA**
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Table 1**: The authors did a table describing the information, but it is perfectly possible to draw up a stratigraphic profile from the data described. **The Fig. 2** is not clear and appears to have been taken from an older publication whose source was not cited. Replace or cite the source.	Done. A litholog has been incorporated with the geological map given in the Fig. 2. For enhance the clarity, the B/W geological map, originally given with the manuscript is replaced with a coloured map. The geological map, given in the Fig. 2 has been prepared by the authors themselves in GIS incorporating inputs from geological fieldworks carried out by the authors, satellite image/digital elevation model (DEM) analyses and online geological map of the Geological Survey of India available at http://bhukosh.gsi.gov.in. It is incorporated the manuscript and highlighted.
Minor REVISION comments	**Tables 3** and **4** could be gathered in a single table to facilitate the synthesis of the information. The width of the columns can be reduced. It is necessary to separate the words in the text. Some words are joined together and need to be separated. We recommend doing a scan on all text.	Done. The tables have been merged and the Table 4 is removed from the manuscript. Done.
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is of good quality, the reading is fluent and the issue is of great relevance to the current context. I recommend the publication of this manuscript after passing through a minor revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	